PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security 20 Mass, Rm. A3042, 425 I Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20536

dentifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy



FILE:

EAC 02 236 52168

Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

Date: MAY 11 2004

IN RE:

Petitioner:

Beneficiary:

PETITION:

Petition for Special Immigrant Battered Spouse Pursuant to Section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the

Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(iii)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director Administrative Appeals Office **DISCUSSION**: The preference visa petition was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is a 30-year old citizen of the Czech Republic who is seeking classification as a special immigrant pursuant to section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(iii), as the battered spouse of a United States citizen.

The record reflects that the petitioner last entered the United States as a B-2 nonimmigrant on September 6, 1995.

On July 3, 2002, the petitioner filed a Form I-360 claiming eligibility as a special immigrant alien who has been battered by, or has been the subject of extreme cruelty perpetrated by, his U.S. citizen spouse during their marriage.

The director denied the petition, finding that the petitioner failed to establish that he has been battered by, or has been the subject of extreme cruelty perpetrated by, the citizen spouse during the marriage.

On appeal, counsel submits additional evidence.

Section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the Act provides, in pertinent part, that an alien who is the spouse of a United States citizen, who is a person of good moral character, who is eligible to be classified as an immediate relative, and who has resided with his spouse, may self-petition for immigrant classification if the alien demonstrates to the Attorney General that—

- (aa) the marriage or the intent to marry the United States citizen was entered into in good faith by the alien; and
- (bb) during the marriage or relationship intended by the alien to be legally a marriage, the alien or a child of the alien has been battered or has been the subject of extreme cruelty perpetrated by the alien's spouse or intended spouse.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.2(c)(1)(i) states, in pertinent part, that:

A spouse may file a self-petition under section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) or 204(a)(1)(B)(ii) of the Act for his or her classification as an immigrant relative or as a preference immigrant if he or she:

- (A) Is the spouse of a citizen or lawful permanent resident of the United States;
- (B) Is eligible for immigrant classification under section 201(b)(2)(A)(i) or 203(a)(2)(A) of the Act based on that relationship;

* * *

- (D) Has resided . . . with the citizen or lawful permanent resident spouse;
- (E) Has been battered by, or has been the subject of extreme cruelty perpetrated by, the citizen or lawful permanent resident during the marriage; or is the parent of a child who has been battered by, or has been the subject of extreme cruelty perpetrated by, the citizen or lawful permanent resident during the marriage;

(F) Is a person of good moral character; [and]

* * *

(H) Entered into the marriage to the citizen or lawful permanent resident in good faith.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.2(c)(2)(iv) states:

Abuse. Evidence of abuse may include, but is not limited to, reports and affidavits from police, judges and other court officials, medical personnel, school officials, clergy, social workers and other social service agency personnel. Persons who have obtained an order of protection against the abuser or have taken other legal steps to end the abuse are strongly encouraged to submit copies of the relating legal documents. Evidence that the abused victim sought safe-haven in a battered women's shelter or similar refuge may be relevant, as may a combination of documents such as a photograph of the visibly injured self-petitioner supported by affidavits. Other forms of credible relevant evidence will also be considered. Documentary proof of non-qualifying abuses may only be used to establish a pattern of abuse and violence and to support a claim that qualifying abuse also occurred.

According to the evidence on the record, the petitioner wed Melissa Sweet on January 5, 1999 in Reno, Nevada and they separated on August 1, 2001. The evidence on the record indicates that the petitioner's wife filed a Form I-130 petition on behalf of the petitioner in March 1999 but that the petition was denied for lack of prosecution. The petitioner filed the Form I-360 petition on July 3, 2002.

On appeal, counsel for the petitioner submits additional evidence and asserts that the director erred in finding that the petitioner failed to establish that the petitioner suffered extreme mental cruelty by his United States citizen wife during their marriage. Counsel states that within ninety days of filing the appeal, he would submit additional evidence addressing the effect of the cruelty on the petitioner's mental health. More than six months have lapsed since the appeal was filed and nothing further has been submitted for the record.

The director determined that the petitioner failed to establish that he was battered by or subjected to extreme cruelty by his citizen wife. The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.2(c)(1)(i)(E) requires the petitioner to establish that he has been battered by, or has been the subject of extreme cruelty perpetrated by, the citizen or lawful permanent resident during the marriage; or is the parent of a child who has been battered by, or has been the subject of extreme cruelty perpetrated by, the citizen or lawful permanent resident during the marriage.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.2(c)(1)(vi) states, in pertinent part:

Battery or extreme cruelty. For the purpose of this chapter, the phrase "was battered by or was the subject of extreme cruelty" includes, but is not limited to, being the victim of any act or threatened act of violence, including any forceful detention, which results or threatens to result in physical or mental injury. Psychological or sexual abuse or exploitation . . . shall be considered acts of violence. Other abusive actions may also be acts of violence under certain circumstances, including acts that, in and of themselves, may not initially appear violent but that are a part of an overall pattern of violence. The qualifying abuse must have been committed by the citizen or lawful permanent resident spouse, must have been perpetrated against the self-petitioner . . . and must have taken place during the self-petitioner's marriage to the abuser.

The qualifying abuse must have been sufficiently aggravated to have reached the level of "battery or extreme cruelty." 8 C.F.R. § 204.2(c)(1)(vi).

In review, the evidence is insufficient to establish that the petitioner was subjected to battery or extreme cruelty by his United States citizen wife. The evidence consists of the following:

- The petitioner's statements.
- A mental health assessment of the petitioner dated March 18, 2002 indicating that the petitioner's mental health status is stable.

According to the mental health assessment in the record, the petitioner told a licensed marriage therapist that he suffered when he learned that his wife had become pregnant by someone other than the petitioner. In his affidavit and statements, the petitioner further indicated that he suffered emotionally as the result of his wife's infidelity. He stated that his wife called him names and threatened to call the police with false accusations of spousal abuse.

It is noted that the petitioner failed to submit evidence that he sought psychological or medical treatment for any abuse he endured. He did not submit evidence that he sought safe-haven in a shelter or elsewhere. He did not submit evidence that he sought an order for protection. He failed to submit reports or affidavits from police, judges and other court officials. 8 C.F.R. § 204.2(c)(2)(iv). Simply going on record without supporting documentary evidence is not sufficient for the purpose of meeting the burden of proof in these proceedings. *Matter of Treasure Craft of California*, 14 I&N Dec. 190 (Reg. Comm. 1972).

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not met that burden. Accordingly, the appeal will be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.